Southern District.

Almstrong Knight

V.

Action no. 1:05 cu 186 LG 5MR.

George payme or. et.al:

Motion of Blief of JUL - 1 2005

Appointment of Counse By JINOBLIN. CLERK
DEPUTY

Comes Mow. Armstrong Knight, plaintiff, in the above styled motion requesting this Honorable Court to Appoint Counsel to the plaintiff in this Action. The plaintiff presents issues in suport of his request.

The plaintiff feel's He has a substantial chance to prevail on his claim's due to the fact that his Claim's involve Material fact's which are verifiable through documentation, also where photograph's were taken of his injury's producing a factual showing of injury. As well Numerous person's involved in the incodent's outside of the plaintiff and defendant's such witnesses as other Sail inmate's and friend's and family.

Appointment of Counsel would hulp the plaintiff to be able to understand and utilize these resorce's to further substantiate his Claim. And personally the plaintiff still suffer's from the incodent's under this action. See Montgomery v. Pinchak 294, F.3d. 492, sol (3rd Cir 2002) and Tucker v. Randall, 948, F.Zd. 388, 391, C7 Cir 1991)

The plaintiff is at a serious disadvantage due to incarceration, being unable to produce indipendant research and investigation to the action brought, in any case where there is required a seprente from discovery, resorce or issue that need's to be brought to the attention of appropriate parties the plaintiff close not have the abillity to Secure that information. Such gathering of fact's may be vitial to the presentation of the Claims, or enforcing a fact to Claim that is not materially

Produceable.

Local Counselor's may allready be knowledgeable of Cognizeable facts to present, and will be able to exercize in unrestrained investigation's which could provide basis, or suport a Claim.

Such issue's Arise such as whare the deser plaintiff know's the Condition's He was subjected to were in direct opposition to a standing Concent decree between the u.s. Justice dpt and the Harrison Co. Jail. of unconstitutional Conditions, staff training ecf. And the plaintiff has exercised his abillity to find and obtain a Copy of the said Consent decree and is unable to do so. he has even filed a freedom of information Act. request which has been pending for a prox four month's and believe's he is not going to be responded to. an appointment of Counsel may better secure these facts by investigation, which plaintiff believe's would seriously reinforce his claim's .. see Murrell v. Benneth, 615, Fizd. 366, 311 (5m Cir 1980)

The plaintiff disclose's that he has a Action pending that involve's Complicated issue's and facts in need of Competent Counsel to handle. to note among other's diliberate indifference of Jail officials that involve week's of unsanitary housing which sequire documentation and Proof that he is unknowledgeable to sequest, and issue's "involving multiple masked task force official's and gun man, whare Compelling the official's to diclose identification and then to deal with Cittisia billity's to the gun man and possession of weapon then if he/she followed Cossect procidure in dischargeing weapon's ect. Are Complicated issue's in need of Competent Counsel Hundleing. This Action involve's aprox 18 Defendant's including rage's of issue's from property deprivation to assault, negligence and diliberate indifficace And may include necessary action as Adding and/or deleting defendant's And other issue's Causing it to be Complex. see Norton v. Dimazana, 122, F.3d. 286, 293 (87th Cir 1997) Counsel would be able to better sort fact's, selevant from Irrelevant issue's lother it is a Considerable possibility the plaintiff being inexspirianced may

3 of 4

disrupt his own Claim in over exercicing motion writing abillity's on matter's that deserve less attention than those in need of serious attention the Amount of defendant's and Essue's will require substantial amount of paperwork and exspirianced legal personnel would be better prepaired. The statement's and Testimony will be substantial Also, requiring a knowledgeable person to be able to Conduct effective cross exsamination of the defendant's which the defendant having no past legal exspiriance feels he is unprepaired to handle, see to per vireyes, 692, Field 15 (5th Cir 1982).

due to the Plaintiff's limited Knowledge of the law he is currently unaware of the Clarity of the law requising all his issue's he understand's some issue's to be legally Clear but unable to make a determination regausding all issue's involved. being an additional reason appointment of Coursel becomes essential. The Plaintiff dose Continue to research the law and Continually fimiliarize's himself regausding his Adea's of Action. he dose not wish to be unprepaised possibly dammageing his Claim of merrit due to his kinderd acess to the law being an additional reason he request Coursel. May the Court be reminded he dose dilligently research and actively try to learn the law and processes herein.

The plaintiff shows the Court he is not Sust totally ignorant to all abillities of law. he dose file this motion in good faith because even though he may file and Cite area's of law adiquately the Action herein is more extensive than filing of motions, especially being at a disadvantage to investigate which would suport his Claim's, the Complexity of the issue's and facts, for Collaberation, being knowledgeable in what to request in discovery ect: and the Numorous diffrent Claim's requires being fluent in law especially where the amount of testimony and exsamination that's required requires the exspiriance and Handleing

4 07 4

ability of More than a beginer in law.

The Plaintiff has attempted to obtain Counsel via Mail to Harrison County Civil attorney's but those Letter's have gone unresponded to this occurring for a period of time pryor to the filing of this action which by the nonTesponse's Caused the plaintiff to file his Complaint pro se. as of this time since that filing the Plaintiff has Contacted his family who in turn contacted the firm's in attempt to secure Counsel, upon those Contact's attorney assistance has not been secured due to act being unable to afford attorney fee's as well, those attorney's declined to accept on a probono basis. These issue's now lead to the plaintiff requesting the Court to Appoint Counsel. he has tried to Secure Counsel otherwise

Whate fore Primises Considerd, the plaintiff respectfully pray's this Honorable Court Grant him his request, of apointment of Counsel for this action.

Respectfully Submitted
Armstrong J. Knight.
M.W.C.F.
P.O. Box 528
Columbia, Ms, 39429

June 3014 2005. Date